

## **Resolution of JNUTA GBM – 2.12.2016**

The General Body of the JNUTA expresses its deep anguish at the continuing obstinate attitude of the JNU Administration. The JNUTA has consistently demanded that the university be administered on the basis of Acts, Statutes and Ordinances rather than on “Discretionary powers”. It is unfortunate to note that JNU Administration and the Vice-Chancellor have shown complete disregard not only to the practiced norms of the university but also to the statutory provisions of the university.

The GBM takes note of these and resolves that the University Administration and the Vice-Chancellor ought to respect the overwhelming opinions expressed time and again urging him not to flout the rules of the university and the laid down procedures. Some of the urgent issues in this respect are:

1. The University Statutes and the UGC rules are clear on the ‘Panel of Expert’ for selection of teachers. The VC would pick three names from the Panel of Experts approved by the relevant bodies. In JNU the Centres suggest names which go through AC and endorsed by the EC. There must not be any addition or deletion of names by the Vice-Chancellor as it is not only unethical but also not legitimate in the eye of law, as the same office cannot ‘finalize’ the list and pick from the ‘self finalized list’ for holding selection interviews. Further, the AC meeting held on 7 October approved the list given by the Centres on respective dates as given in the agenda. It did not authorised the VC to finalize the list beyond what was sent by the Centres. The minutes of AC which have been circulated needs immediate correction.
  2. The Hostel Manual as approved by EC suggests that VC will appoint the Wardens on the recommendation of the Provosts. The University Administration is urged upon to take corrective measures in appointment of wardens and make it a decentralized administrative set up rather than individual centric non-democratically functioning machinery.
  3. In complete disregard to repeated requests and opinions, the university Vice Chancellor has refused to minute in the EC resolution the overwhelming opinion of the members to follow the rotation-based seniority principle in appointment of Chairpersons/Deans. The GBM expresses apprehension once again about the reluctance of VC in accepting a norm which is articulated more than once by different High Courts of India on appointment of Heads/Deans in Departments.
- The GBM also expresses concern on the callous attitude of the university administration with regard to the missing student Najeeb and to determine culpability in the violence that preceded disappearance of Najeeb.
  - The GBM expresses its deep anger at the delaying tactics in CAS promotion on the pretext of a new ‘Committee’ and demands that promotion under CAS must be initiated immediately as per the earlier resolution of the EC without any further delay and shuffling between ‘Committees’.